

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-1661-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.7.2015

Sukhjeet Kaur & Ors.

.....Appellants

Versus

Gurcharan Dass & Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. N.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the appellants.

KULDIP SINGH, J (Oral)

CM-4820-CII-2015

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the
delay of 11 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands allowed.

FAO-4820-2015

This is claimant's appeal for enhancement of
compensation in a death case.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that
the appellants are satisfied with the compensation granted
under various heads, however, no compensation for
transportation of the deceased, as injured from Kurukshetra to
Chandigarh and then PGI and then transporting his dead body

from Chandigarh to Kurukshetra, has been awarded.

Notice of motion to the Insurance Company only.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the Insurance Company.

The distance from Kurukshetra to Chandigarh is 100 kilometers, therefore, without going further into the controversy as the dead body of the deceased was also taken back from Chandigarh to Kurukshetra, therefore, the total two way distance comes to 200 kilometer. Thus, an amount of ₹ 8 per kilometer is allowed to the claimants i.e. Rs. 1600/- for transportation of the injured from Kurukshetra to Chandigarh and then his dead body from Chandigarh to Kurukshetra. Therefore, the compensation of ₹1600/- over and above the compensation already granted by the Tribunal is awarded to the claimants.

Appeal is accordingly disposed of.

23.07.2015
sp

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE