

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 540 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of decision :10.12.2015

Kishori

... Appellant

vs

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate, for the landowners in
RFA No.5298 of 2010.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of a bunch of appeals bearing RFA Nos. 540, 5298 of 2010, 5400, 5401, 8666, 9356 and 9357 of 2014, as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

The landowners are in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that State of Haryana vide notification dated 26.8.2003, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') sought to acquire land measuring 50.11 acres and 13.62 acres situated in village Gaunchhi, Hadbast No.26 and village Ballabgarh, Hadbast No.78, respectively, Tehsil Ballabgarh, District Faridabad for development and utilization thereof as residential and commercial for Sector 56-A and industrial Sector 56 at Faridabad. The same was followed by notification dated 25.8.2004, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide awards dated 3.8.2006, assessed the market value of the acquired land of village Gaunchhi @ ₹ 25,00,000/- per acre (₹ 516.5 per square yard) and 28,00,000/- per acre (₹ 578.5 per acre) for the land of village Ballabgarh.

Aggrieved against the awards of the Collector, the landowners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, assessed the compensation for the acquired land of village Gaunchhi @ ₹ 895/- per square yard and land of village Ballabgarh @ ₹ 1,000/- per square yard. The same has been impugned by the landowners before this Court in the present set of appeals.

Learned counsel for the landowner very fairly submitted that in view of the judgment of this Court in RFA No. 541 of 2010- Maya and others v. State of Haryana, decided on 11.5.2011, nothing survives in the present set of appeals.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Maya's case (supra), the present set of appeals are dismissed.

10.12.2015

sharmila

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge