

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9990-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2015**

SATBIR AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition the petitioners, who are drivers appointed in the year 2002, are claiming regular pay scales together with the benefits of ACP and annual increments as granted to the similarly placed drivers appointed in 2003. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in this connection the petitioners have sent representation dated 19.08.2014(Annexure P-4) which has not been decided as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the

petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon. If the petitioners are found entitled to the relief claimed, grant the same to them within a further period of one month thereafter. If any due relief is not granted to the petitioners within the aforesaid period, they would be entitled to get the same along with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date of payment.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 18, 2015
sunita