

Civil Writ Petition No.9910 of 2015
Date of Decision: *September 18, 2015*

Randhir Sahai

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Jalandhar Improvement Trust, Jalandhar & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

. . .

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate, for the
 petitioner.

Ms. Sagina, Advocate, for Mr. Sandeep
Khunger, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

. . .

Surya Kant, J (Oral)

1. The petitioner alongwith proforma respondent
Nos.3 to 21 seek modification of the Award dated 13.10.2012
passed by the President, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar whereby
the compensation @ ₹ 12,000/- and ₹ 15,000/- per marla has
been awarded for the land acquired for '94.5 Acre Development
Scheme'.

2. Notice of motion was issued. Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that the above mentioned award was subject matter of challenge before this Court in a bunch of writ petitions including CWP No.3980 of 2013 (Prem Kumar vs. Tribunal, Jalandhar Improvement Trust, Jalandhar) which were allowed in part vide order dated 31.10.2013 in the following terms:-

“.....39. In view of our discussion above, the writ petitions filed by the claimants are partly allowed. The award of the Tribunal dated 13.10.2012 is modified to the extent that compensation for the land abutting the bye-pass (240' x 2265') is assessed @ Rs.16,080/- per marla and of the remaining land @ Rs.13,400/- per marla with all statutory benefits under Sections 23 & 28 of the Land Acquisition Act on the difference of the market value of acquired land over and above the compensation awarded by the Tribunal.....”.

3. The instant writ petition is also thus allowed in part in the above reproduced terms ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

(Jaspal Singh)
Judge

September 18, 2015
avin