

CWP No.9883 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9883 of 2015**Date of Decision: May 18, 2015****Subhash Chand**

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that despite having executed the work which was allotted to him, the part payment has been received by the petitioner as per the directions issued by this Court in CWP No.7285 of 2014. Out of the 15 studs which were to be executed by the petitioner, for six studs, he had already received the payment but for the remaining 7 studs i.e. 4 number of studs in village Bhunder and 3 studs in village Bhallanwara, total amounting to ₹47,00,000/- have not been paid. The said amount has not been released to him till date. Petitioner has submitted a representation dated 06.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) to the Executive Engineer, Mansa Drainage Division, Mansa, District Mansa-respondent No.4 which also has not been responded to.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Executive

CWP No.9883 of 2015

Engineer, Mansa Drainage Division, Mansa, District Mansa-respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 06.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, with directions to the Executive Engineer, Mansa Drainage Division, Mansa, District Mansa-respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 06.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to him within a further period of six weeks, in accordance with law. The decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

May 18, 2015
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE