

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP No.9872 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.05.2015

Sachin Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The father of the petitioner Sh. Mohinder Pal, was killed by the terrorists on 31.01.1983. On his death, the petitioner applied for appointment on compassionate grounds, after consideration of which he was offered appointment on the post of Peon which he joined on 21.06.1996.

That since the petitioner was a matriculate and having knowledge of typing and computer, through resolution No.91 dated 24.01.2014 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.2 his case for appointment as a Clerk was sent for approval to the Government.

After considering the resolution, the Government of Punjab through letter dated 04.03.2014 Annexure P-6 asked the Commissioner, Municipal Council, Jalandhar, to send a detailed report with regard to resolution No.91 dated 24.01.2014 (Annexure P-5) along with any Government Policy on which the resolution was based.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in spite of the passage of over one year, Commissioner, Municipal Council, Jalandhar has not responded to the letter dated 04.03.2014 (Annexure P-6). He, thus, prays for the issuance of appropriate directions to the respondents to expedite the matter.

The above fact reveals that there has been an undue delay on the part of the respondents to finalize the issue with regard to the appointment of the petitioner as a Clerk. Thus, I consider it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to take appropriate steps in pursuance to the letter dated 04.03.2014 (Annexure P-6) and sent the required information to the Government within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

On such receipt of information to be send by respondent No.2, within a period of two months thereof, respondent No.1 is directed to take a final decision thereupon.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 18, 2015
Jyoti 1