

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.9741 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 15, 2015

Regional Passport Office

.....Petitioner

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and
anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Rohit Kapoor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

~.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

One of the contention raised is that services of 2nd respondent, who was employed on casual basis, were decided to be dispensed with vide notice dated 21.09.1999 on a totally different ground without any reference to his involvement in the criminal case. We, however, find from the impugned order that no such plea was taken before the Tribunal who proceeded on the premise that the services of respondent No.2 were dispensed with due to his involvement in criminal case in which he earned acquittal.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition at this stage with liberty to move an appropriate application before the Tribunal to raise the above-mentioned

factual plea.

With liberty aforementioned, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

May 15, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE