

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9680 of 2015

Date of Decision:15/05/2015

Jatinder Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach respondent No.2 through a comprehensive representation on behalf of all the petitioners with regard to the grievance raised in the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

It is further directed that the above referred representation, if made, would be decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken on the representation, if made, be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

15.05.2015
rajeev