

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9609 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 26.05.2015

Ravinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Girish Agnihotri, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Isshan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is that if the candidates who were subsequently found ineligible are taken out of the reckoning, the petitioner would make the cut for being appointed. Learned senior counsel states that in this connection the petitioner has moved a representation dated 16.04.2015(Annexure P-3) and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to take a reasoned decision thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order thereon in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is clarified that since the petitioner has approached this Court well in time his case will be considered on merit.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 26, 2015
sunita