

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

260

**Civil Writ Petition No.9595 of 2015
Date of decision: 17.07.2015**

Satpal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.K. Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 02.03.2015 (Annexure P-1) issued to the petitioner in respect of termination of his service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the decision passed by this Court on 13.05.2015 in **CWP No.4729 of 2015**, titled as “**Shilk Ram vs. State of Haryana and others**”.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in terms of judgement dated 13.05.2015 passed in **Civil Writ Petition No.4729 of 2015**, titled as “**Shilk Ram vs. State of Haryana and others**”.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 17, 2015
sonia g.