

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9519-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:14.05.2015**

JAI KISHAN AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VS

**THE PANIPAT COOPERATIVE SUGAR
MILL LTD. AND ANOTHER**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioners have claimed that they should be brought on the Regular Permanent Cadre of the respondent mill. It is stated that in this connection the petitioners had sent representations(colly) dated 13.07.2011(Annexure P-6) which have not been decided as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representations within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations (colly)(Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a

certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon and in case the petitioners are found entitled to the relief claimed, grant them the same within another period of one month thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 14, 2015
sunita