

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**DATE OF DECISION: MAY 14, 2015**

**130**

**Gandhi Smarak Nidhi**

.....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**Khadi & Village Industries Commission, Mumbai and others**

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Counsel for the Petitioner asserts that the amount due has been duly paid by the petitioner as per the claim of respondent No.1. Thereafter, it has been requesting respondent No.1 for release of title deed as the loan amount stood satisfied but no response thereto has been received. Even notice dated 30.03.2015 (Annexure P-7) served through counsel upon respondent No.1 has not been responded to.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Chief Executive Officer, Khadi & Village Industries Commission, Mumbai-respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 30.03.2015 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner

**CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.9460 OF 2015**

**:{ 2 }:**

and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Chief Executive Officer, Khadi & Village Industries Commission, Mumbai-respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 30.03.2015 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

**May 14, 2015  
khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )  
JUDGE**