

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.9408 of 2015

Date of Decision : 09.07.2015

Satpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sushen Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondent-authorities to grant ACPs due to him.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a Legal Notice dated 24.12.2014 (Annexure P-2) to the respondent No.1 and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.1 to look into the matter and decide his legal notice within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter/legal notice (Annexure P-2) and take whatever action

he deems necessary as per law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 09, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**