

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.9348 of 2015

Date of Decision : 13.05.2015

Sunita

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. P.K. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged his transfer order.

I am not inclined to interfere in this matter at this stage. In my opinion, the interest of justice would be served, if the petitioner first move a self-contained representation to the respondent No.2 who shall decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction that if any such representation is moved by the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, the respondents No.2 shall decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt thereof.

In case it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any relief the same be disbursed to her within the aforesaid period. Needless to say in case of an adverse order the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 13, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**