

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 10472 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: September 2, 2015

Vijay Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

Alam Gir and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the appellant.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

CM No. 28834 CII of 2014

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

Main case

There is no representation for the appellant. The appeal is filed against the dismissal of the petition. The petition was modified as one filed under Section 163-A of the Motor Vehicle Act. The Tribunal dismissed it holding that the appellants' own averment was that he was earning Rs. 6,000/- per month and found the petition not to be competent. I do not find any error for interference.

The appeal is dismissed.

September 2, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE