

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

**Civil Writ Petition No.9274 of 2015
Date of Decision:12.05.2015**

Sudesh Kumar Garg and otherspetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

The petitioners seek a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to afford the petitioners the benefit of ACP Scheme on completion of 16/24/32 years of service, that has been denied to them on account of their foregoing promotions.

It is maintained that the matter in issue is squarely covered by two decisions rendered by this Court in *CWP No.19614 of 2009* titled as “Promila Aggarwal versus State of Punjab” and *CWP No.21287 of 2010*, titled as Ram Kumar Kapoor versus The State of Punjab and others”.

Further, prior to the institution of this petition, petitioners No.2 and 3 had even represented to the Director Public Instructions (S.E), Punjab, Chandigarh, in this regard, vide representation dated 23.09.2014 (Annexure P5). But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of, with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, within a specified time.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of three months, from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

12.05.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE