

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9255 of 2015

Date of decision: 12.05.2015.

Sumer Chand

..Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited
and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned order dated 03.11.2014 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondents No.3 and 4. A prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to respondents to release the amount recovered from the pension of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only the impugned order has been sent and no other document has been sent to the petitioner.

In the impugned order (Annexure P-6), simply it has been

mentioned that one letter dated 20.10.2014 was addressed to the petitioner, whereby, he was directed to go through the contents of the letter and to obey the instructions issued by the XEN S/U Division DHBVN, Bhiwani.

In spite of asking to learned counsel to place on record the copy of letter dated 20.10.2014, he is unable to show any document but submits that this document can be obtained from the respondents in case, the notice is issued.

The Court is unable to understand as to how this order can be quashed without going through the contents of letter dated 20.10.2014 whereas the same has already been addressed to the petitioner. In case, learned counsel for the petitioner is not having copy of the same, he can place on record the same but he has shown his helplessness in placing the said letter on record.

Without having sufficient record or relevant document on the file, no direction can be issued. Hence the present writ petition is dismissed at this stage.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh petition on having sufficient/relevant documents.

12.05.2015
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE