

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9216-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:12.05.2015**

**MAHIPAL ALIAS GAJE SINGH AND
OTHERS**

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in this petition is for higher scale. Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioners have issued representation dated 29.09.2014 (Annexure P-6) which has not been decided as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation(Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking

order thereon.

Another prayer of the petitioners is that even the unrevised salary for the last 5 months has not been paid to the petitioners. Respondent No.2 is directed to look into this issue also and if it is correct to, pay the unrevised salary to them forthwith.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 12, 2015
sunita