

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015.01.29 12:27

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.913 of 2015
Date of Decision.27.01.2015**

M/s Tulsia Gas Agency and othersPetitioners
Versus
Union of India and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners' only grievance is that the Director of Frauds constituted by the Department of Company Affairs has not considered the representation which the petitioner has given through Annexure P-10. The Counsel for the petitioners states that he will be satisfied if the representation is appropriately considered and favourable order passed in order that action is undertaken in the manner contemplated under Sections 235 or 237 of the Companies Act.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to consider the representation under Annexure P-10 within a period of six weeks. The petitioner shall append a copy of the representation and the copy of the order to the 2nd respondent for appropriate orders in the manner indicated above.

3. The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 27, 2015
Pankaj*