

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8965 of 2015

Date of Decision:08/05/2015

Gurmeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present writ petition, the petitioners seek regularization of their services as Junior Technicians with effect from 1.1.1986.

A perusal of the record shows that in pursuance to a settlement dated 11.7.2008 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent-The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation (hereinafter referred to as “the Corporation”), all the petitioners had agreed that their services as Junior Technicians be regularized with effect from 1.9.1992.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that he does not challenge the above referred settlement.

In view of the above settlement which remains unchallenged by the petitioners through which they had agreed for the fixation of the date of regularization of their services as 1.9.1992, the petitioners would be estopped from claiming the regularization of their services from an earlier date as being claimed by them through the present writ petition.

Even otherwise the above referred settlement took place

between the parties in July 2008 wherein the agreed date of regularization of the services of the petitioners was 1.9.1992. After about 7 years of settlement, the petitioners through the present writ petition cannot be allowed to seek a change in the date of regularization of their services which is contrary to the date agreed by them.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same is ordered to be dismissed.

No costs.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**08.05.2015
rajeev**