

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**DATE OF DECISION: MAY 06, 2015**

**Harvinder Singh and another**

.....Petitioners

**VERSUS**

**State of Punjab and others**

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioners that after the closing down of the petrol pump of the petitioners because of construction of a Railway Over-Bridge under 4-laning Project of Road along Sidhwan Canal with flyovers under pass and Railway Over-Bridge, they approached the respondents for allotment of an appropriate site in Ludhiana as per the Resettlement and Rehabilitation Policy (Annexure P-3). The said representation of the petitioners was considered by the Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA)-respondent No.5. On consideration of the request of the petitioners and thereafter the consent which has been given by the Indian Oil Corporation, a decision was taken by the Additional Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana, recommending the claim of the petitioners by stating therein that as per the policy, there is only provision

for the allotment of site to the Petroleum Company on lease hold basis. It was also stated that the petitioners have suffered a lot but the land cannot be allotted to them in their personal capacity. However, in the light of the company having consented to take up the lease, the requests of the petitioners was forwarded to the Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Punjab, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 vide communication dated 25.07.2014 (Annexure P-16) but no decision thereon till date has been taken.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the the Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Punjab, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the recommendation of GLADA dated 25.07.2014 (Annexure P-16) within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Punjab, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the recommendation of GLADA dated 25.07.2014 (Annexure P-16) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

**May 06, 2015**  
**khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )**  
**JUDGE**