

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8762-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.05.2015**

SATBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioners are claiming 2nd/3rd ACP scales.

Learned counsel states that the petitioners have got issued legal notice dated 24.05.2014(Annexure P-2) which has not been decided as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice in accordance with law.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-2) of the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon and in case the petitioners are found entitled to the relief claimed, grant them the

same within the aforesaid period. Since the dispute in this case relates to monetary benefits, it is made clear that in case any due payment is not made within the aforesaid time, the petitioners would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the payment/s fell due.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 06, 2015
sunita