

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No. 9974-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.08.2015**

Mahinder Singh Gill

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Power Generation Corporation Ltd. & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Poonia, Advocate with
Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this writ petition, the petitioner has claimed that his pay be fixed along with consequential benefits at par with his junior in the light of the decision/instruction dated 27.11.2006 adopted on 21.12.2006 by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that his case is squarely covered by the judgment titled ***“Madan Lal Vs. Uttari Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. & ors.”*** passed in CWP No.1894 of 2014, decided on 13.03.2015 of this Court.

It is not disputed that the matter is covered by the decision of the above mentioned judgment. Resultantly, the petition is disposed of in the same terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

06.08.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**