

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.9970 of 2014
Date of decision: 26.11.2015**

Usha Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Vaibhav Sharma, DAG., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel are *ad idem* that the recovery part has to go by the decision of the Supreme Court in **State of Punjab v. Rafiq Masih;** (2014) 8 SCC 883. However, re-fixation of salary will hold the field and be reflected in the salary drawn every month in the reduced amount.

With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

November 26, 2015

Paritosh Kumar