

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P No.9904 of 2014
Date of decision : 14.09.2015.**

Gurjit Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Registrar, Cooperative Societies Punjab Chandigarh & Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibbar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.
for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent No.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Punjab, Respondent No.1, has dismissed the revision petition filed before him pursuant to orders of this Court dated 14.08.2013, passed in CWP-17673-2013, on the ground that the revision petition filed on behalf of the petitioner was through his attorney, but that the power of attorney was not valid, not having been embossed by the competent authority in Canada, where the petitioner is stated to be resident.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner himself, who is stated to have signed the Vakalatnama in person, in India. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that if the matter is remitted to the Registrar to decide the revision petition, the petitioner would appear in person before the Registrar.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to again approach the Registrar, in terms of the earlier orders dated 14.08.2013 passed in CWP-17673-2013, by way of a revision petition, which, if the petitioner appears in person or through a valid power of attorney, will be heard on merits by the Registrar. Ms. Bains further submits that the revision petition would be filed before the Registrar again, within two months, since the petitioner is residing abroad and will be coming from there.

Since this matter has been going to and fro between this Court and various authorities of Government, it is made clear that subject to the above two conditions, the revision petition will not be dismissed on technical ground and shall be decided wholly on merit, of course taking into consideration any delay etc., attributable to the petitioner in challenging the orders that he is aggrieved of, at any stage.

September 14, 2015
Ramandeep Singh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE