

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.8655 of 2015

Date of Decision:-11.05.2015

Narinder Rathi

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Pritam Saini and Mr. Pravindra Singh,
Additional Advocate Generals, Haryana.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

A resident of village Bal Jattan, Tehsil Matloda, District Panipat by way of the present writ petition has primarily sought a direction against the respondent-State for following the Reservation Policy for the office of Sarpanch for the category of “Scheduled Caste” by keeping the concept of rotation as enumerated under Section 9(4) of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (for short the “1994 Act”).

It is submitted that the State of Haryana is not following the decision dated 14.09.2010 rendered in Pawan Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana and connected cases by this Court, whereby the method of implementation of Reservation provided under Section 9(4) of the 1994 Act has been interpreted and the manner laid down.

Upon notice learned State Counsel submits that the supplementary instructions dated 7.5.2015 to the earlier instructions dated 20.11.2014 have been issued and circulated clarifying and making out the necessary corrections for strict compliance, therefore, it is submitted that the grievance urged in the present writ petition stands redressed.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties, this Court feels that at this stage, the necessary remedial directions have been carried out, however, still in case if there is any faulty implementation of reservation policy at the Block Level, that would provide a separate cause of action to the petitioner.

Disposed of in the above terms.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 11, 2015
Vinay