

Sr.No.238

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CWP-9775-2014

Decided on: 22.09.2015

Amrita SinghPetitioner

vs.

UT of Chandigarh and othersRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr.Ravi Kant Gupta,Advocate
Mr. Sukant Gupta, APP, Chandigarh.
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondents no.1 to 3.
Mr. A.K.Sinha, Advocate for respondents no.4 and 5.
**

Mahesh Grover, J

Mr. Gupta appearing from Legal Services Authority states that he has information to say that the premises in occupation of respondents no.4 and 5 have since been vacated.

If that be so then the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Counsel for respondents no.4 and 5 states that the premises were forcibly vacated and in this regard they have filed an application.

Be that as it may, then they are at liberty to pursue their remedy in accordance with law.

22.09.2015

mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE