

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8544 of 2015
Date of decision:29.05.2015**

Amit Kumar Roy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. S.K.Daaria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

The petitioner has challenged the order passed by the HDFC Bank dated 20.12.2014 by which his services have been terminated.

Admittedly, the HDFC Bank is a private bank and the petitioner has failed to explain as to how the writ petition is maintainable against a private bank.

I have also found from the record that there is a memo of parties, showing that the writ petition was initially filed only against the HDFC Bank, but when faced with this difficulty, the petitioner has deliberately added the State of Haryana through Chief Secretary as a party though it has no superintendence or control over the HDFC Bank.

The writ petition is thus dismissed being not maintainable.

However, the petitioner may take up his remedy for redressal of his grievance in regard to the termination of his services, in accordance with law.

May 29, 2015
vinod*

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**