

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI  
2015.08.07 02:39  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

**CWP No. 8484 of 2015(O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 31.7.2015**

**Brij Khanna**

**---Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**---Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Kumar Mittal  
Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

**Present: Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate  
for the petitioner**

**Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Punjab**

**Mr. A.K.Bazaz, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.3 and 4**

**\*\*\***

**Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.**

On 30.7.2015, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Short reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 filed along with the application is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

When the Bench has expressed its opinion to pronounce the order regarding dismissal of petition with costs of ₹ 5 lacs, it was prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy. Though, apparently we are not inclined to accept the same but the prayer for withdrawal of the writ petition was accepted without making any observation with regard to availment of any remedy subject to payment of ₹5 lacs in the shape of a demand draft in the name of Member Secretary, Legal Services Authority, Union Territory, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that

demand draft for the aforesaid amount shall be produced in Court on 31.7.2015. It is observed that in case demand draft is not produced, the writ petition shall be dismissed with costs of ₹ 5 lacs by passing a detailed order.

Adjourned to 31.7.2015.”

2. In compliance to our order dated 30.7.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced demand draft No. 001136 dated 30.7.2015 drawn in favour of the Member Secretary, Legal Services Authority Chandigarh for the sum of ₹5 lacs. Photocopy of the same has been taken on record.
3. Registry is directed to forward the original demand draft to the quarter concerned and also place another photocopy of the demand draft in the second paper book.
4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(Ajay Kumar Mittal)**  
**Judge**

**(Rekha Mittal)**  
**Judge**

**31.7.2015**  
PARAMJIT