

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8314 of 2015

Date of Decision:11/05/2015

Gajender Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to take a decision on his representations dated 16.4.2015 (Annexure P-10 and P-11) submitted by the petitioners. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to take decision on the representations dated 16.4.2015 (Annexures P-10 and P-11) by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

11.05.2015
rajeev