

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.824 of 2015

Date of decision: 19.1.2015

Gurpreet Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Bikramjit Singh Bajwa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners have been unable to show whether Education Volunteers and Education Providers as in P-8 at page 67 of the paperbook are equivalent posts in Sarv Sikhiya Abhiyan. The petitioners were terminated in 2009 and have been out of service since then. Today, they claim that they should be appointed as Education Volunteers despite a policy having been framed by the Government discontinuing the practice. The claim is based on discrimination alleged to be practiced through P-9. In the case of one Baljinder Singh who was dismissed due to his absence, on his representation, it was decided to allow him to join back as an Education Volunteer. That order was passed on 16th November, 2011. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits at the bar that the policy doing away with the Scheme is not a good one but there is no challenge to the policy found in this

petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner at some length, and the petition being silent on many vital aspects with respect to Education Volunteers and vague averments, it is not possible to express any opinion either in favour or against the petitioners in this case as presented in the pleadings and the writ papers.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition, on his request, with liberty to file a fresh one on the same grievance but with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

January 19, 2015
Paritosh Kumar