

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-8218-2015

Date of decision : 11.05.2015

MVL Limited

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Arun Jain, Senior Advocate
with Mr. P.K.Khindria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for quashing memo No.PF-51A/2015/2708 dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P1) formulating the policy laid down parameters for allowing change in developer, assignment of joint development rights and / or marketing rights etc. in a licence granted under the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition as no adverse action in terms of Annexure P1 has been taken against the petitioner so far, with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to available remedy in accordance with law in case any adverse action in terms of Annexure P1 is taken by the concerned authorities.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to remedy in accordance with law as and when adverse order is passed against the petitioner in view of Annexure P1.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2015.

Davinder Kumar
DAVINDER KUMAR
2015.05.13 16:00
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document