

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015.01.29 12:27

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.812 of 2015
Date of Decision.28.01.2015**

Man Singh and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is not competent to file a petition to challenge the notice of theft without joining the Corporation in a form of representation contemplated under the relevant Regulations pointing out to the features that would show that there was no theft and there was no tampering with the meter. It shall not be possible for the Court to examine the issue whether the thumb impression taken in the inspection note was with the knowledge of the petitioner or not. It is inexpedient for me to make an adjudication on such issue raised by the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is dismissed but the petitioner will have appropriate remedy to work out the rights in the manner provided under the relevant Regulations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**January 28, 2015
Pankaj***