

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 29, 2015

Raman Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, , Patiala and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the high tension wires were installed for supply electricity to a factory. However, the said factory having been virtually dismantled, it does not require any power supply as of now. Further, no electricity has also been supplied through the line going over the populated area and above the roofs of houses of some of the residents of Village Mahal.

Petitioner had represented to the Chief Engineer, Border Zone (South Division), Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Amritsar-respondent No.2, through various representations, some of which are dated 08.06.2014, 18.07.2014 and 25.08.2014, annexed with this writ petition as Annexures P-6 to Annexures P-8 respectively but neither any decision thereon has been taken nor the wires/poles/jumpers removed or

dismantled. Counsel contends that because of the existence of these equipments of Electricity Department, the residents of the Village are finding it difficult to continue with their further construction in their houses and at some places wires are lying/touching the roofs. In support of this he has placed reliance upon the photographs, which are appended with the writ petition as Annexure P-3. Counsel for the petitioner has also received information under the Right to Information Act, which communication was received through letter dated 26.03.2014 (Annexure P-5), wherein it has been mentioned that no electricity supply is there till now and there is no provision for running the electricity.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Chief Engineer, Border Zone (South Division), Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Amritsar-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 08.06.2014 (Annexure P-6) filed by the petitioner, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Chief Engineer, Border Zone (South Division), Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Amritsar-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 08.06.2014 (Annexure P-6) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. In case the representation is accepted, further steps be taken at the earliest and not beyond 2 months of the date of decision.

April 29, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE