

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.8078 of 2015
Date of Decision: April 29, 2015

Manvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Tushar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present writ petition is for issuing appropriate directions to respondent No.3 to initiate proceedings under Section 12 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short “the Act”) in pursuance to the receipt of the demand notice dated 5.6.2014 (Annexure P-1).

Mr.Tushar Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that despite receipt of the demand notice, the Conciliation Officer has not issued notice of the same to the Management, though he has remedy under Section 2-A of the Act to directly approach the Labour Court.

Be that as it may, the fact remains that once a demand notice has been raised and received by the Labour-cum-Conciliation Officer, Circle-III, Jalandhar, it was incumbent upon him to take action on the said

demand notice.

Since as per the averment made in the writ petition, no action has been taken, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take action on the demand notice dated 5.6.2014, stated to have been received in the office on the same date.

It is expected that the Labour-cum-Conciliation Officer, Circle-III, Jalandhar shall take action in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

April 29, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE