

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

144

Civil Writ Petition No.7980 of 2015

Date of Decision: April 27, 2015

Manjit Singh Padma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court alleging that despite representation along with requisite fee having been submitted to the Registrar of Marriages, Kapurthala for correction of the marriage certificate issued on 31.10.2014 (Annexure P-1) regarding correction of the status of Manjit Singh-petitioner No.1, which has been recorded as 'unmarried', which should be 'divorced' and the date of birth of petitioner No.2-Gurjit Kaur is recorded as 26.04.1993 whereas it should be 02.04.1993. The said application dated 10.11.2014 (Annexure P-4) has not yet been decided. In support of the fact that petitioner No.1 was a divorcee on the date of submission of the application for registration of marriage, reliance has been placed upon the divorce decree dated 07.08.2012 (Annexure P-3) and with regard to correct date of birth of petitioner No.2, reliance has been placed upon copy of the passport (Annexure P-2) issued to her on 07.04.2015. He further contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to Registrar of Marriages, Tehsildar-cum-Registrar, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala-respondent No.2, to consider and

decide the representation dated 10.11.2014 (Annexure P-4), within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Registrar of Marriages, Tehsildar-cum-Registrar, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala, to consider and decide the representation dated 10.11.2014 (Annexure P-4), within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, provided the applicants have/has submitted proper representation/application for the needful to be done along with requisite fee and the documents in support thereof. Any observation of this Court on the facts of the matter shall not be taken as expressing any opinion on the merits of the case in hand and respondent No.2 shall take a decision on its own independently as per the provisions of law.

April 27, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE