

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.7894 of 2015

Date of Decision : 27.04.2015

Surender and others

..... Petitioners

versus

DHBVN and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. B.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for regularization of the services of the petitioners under regularization policies dated 18.06.2014 and 28.07.2014.

Learned counsel states that the facts of the present case is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.4081 of 2015 titled as *Ravinder Kumar and others vs. DHBVN and others*, decided on 19.03.2015 except the fact that in that case the petitioners filed a legal notice but in the present case the petitioners filed a representation dated 21.12.2014 (Annexure P-8).

In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the

same terms and the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and till then to maintain status-quo regarding the services of the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 27, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**