

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015.01.16 14:20

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.789 of 2015
Date of Decision.16.01.2015**

Maa Vidhya Devi Shiksha and Krida Samiti Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others Respondents

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the representation given by the petitioner under Annexure P-4 is considered by respondent No.2 and an appropriate decision is taken within a stipulated time. The 2nd respondent is directed to consider the representation given by the petitioner under Annexure P-4 to take a decision and inform the same to the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

2. I dispense with notice to the respondents, for, I am not passing any order on merits of the case. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 16, 2015
Pankaj*