

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

123

Civil Writ Petition No.7834 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 07, 2015

Bhanmati

...Petitioner

Versus

Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Panipat and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner suffered loss of property because of the bursting of the gas cylinder supplied by the respondent No.1-Indian Oil Corporation Limited through its agency Savita Gas Service, Industrial Area, Hemu Chowk, Panipat-respondent No.2. She, on the basis of the fact that she had suffered a loss because of the substandard cylinder supplied to the petitioner, which burst in the house which led to fire, submitted representation for grant of compensation. When the same was not responded to, notice through counsel dated 04.03.2015 (Annexure P-6) was served upon respondents 1 and 2. Thereafter a reply has been received from Savita Gas Service-respondent No.2 that the matter is pending with respondent No.3-United India Insurance Company Limited but till date no decision in this regard has been received.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents 1 and 2, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 04.03.2015 (Annexure P-6), within some

specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Panipat through its Sr. Divisional Retail Sales Manager, Panipat, Marketing Complex village Baholi, P.O. Panipat Refinery, District Panipat and Savita Gas Service through its Manager, Industrial Area, Hemu Chowk, Panipat-respondents 1 and 2 respectively, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 04.03.2015 (Annexure P-6), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to her, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

**May 07, 2015**  
*Puneet*

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)**  
**JUDGE**