

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233/5

Civil Writ Petition No.9042 of 2014

Date of Decision: July 14, 2015

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate
and Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would file objections/review to the notice (Annexure P-1) within a period of four weeks to the Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle Kaithal. He further states that a direction may be issued to the said officer to consider the same and take a decision within some specified time.

Counsel for the respondents also very fairly states that in case the petitioner files the objections/review within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the concerned officer, as per the latest regulations, within a period of four weeks from the date of submission of the said objections/review. He further states that the objections/review will be considered and decided on merits and there will be no objection with regard to the delay in submission of the objections/review.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the parties, the

present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file objections/review within a period of four weeks to the Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle Kaithal, who shall, on receipt of the same, decide them within a period of four weeks from the date of submission of such objections/review in terms of Sale Circular No.U-60/2013 dated 10.12.2013.

In case the petitioner is entitled to some benefits, the same be released to the petitioner within a further period of six weeks. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

July 14, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE