

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7808-2015

Date of Decision : 27.04.2015

Lalit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. D.S. Kahlon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, Asstt. AG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Requisite sets of paper book have been supplied.

As regards the nature of the order I proposed to pass, no formal written statement by the State would be necessary at this stage.

Petitioners purports to be Ranjit Sagar Dam oustee, and seek a writ in the nature of mandamus so as to direct the respondents to consider his claim and to provide him an employment in terms of Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme dated 18.11.1993 (Annexure P-5). And, vide representation dated 30.5.2014 (Annexure P-3 Colly) petitioners had even represented to respondent No.4 in this regard.

As a result vide letter dated 14.11.2014 (Annexure P-4)

Executive Engineer, Shahpur Kandi Dam Mandal No. 4, Shahpur

Township, asked the petitioner i.e. Sh. Lalit Singh to appear in the office of Superintending Engineer, Shahpurkandi Dam on 26.11.2014 at 10:30 AM for consideration of his claim.

It is maintained that the petitioner appeared before the Superintending Engineer on the appointed date at 10:30 AM and submitted requisite documents and prayed that he be afforded an employment in terms of the Rehabilitation and Resettlement Scheme, 2013. But despite that, the claim of the petitioners continues to be pending consideration of the authorities as no formal order in this regard has been passed or intimated to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners within a specified time.

That being so, learned State counsel submits that if the claim of the petitioners is indeed pending consideration of the competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided within a period of two months from today.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

27.04.2015
'SP'