

CWP No.8989 of 2014

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8989 of 2014
Date of decision: 14.10.2015

Sanjay

....Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Engineer, UHBVNL, Rohtak and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J.

Instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order of assessment dated 14.09.2012 (Annexure P-2) under Section 135 Indian Electricity Act, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') whereby and amount of ₹760924/- has been assessed against the petitioner as loss caused to the respondents on account of theft of electricity, notice dated 14.09.2012 (Annexure P-3) vide which petitioner was given offer to compound the matter to absolve the

CWP No.8989 of 2014

petitioner from criminal liabilities for committing theft of electricity under Section 135 of the Act and for declaring the checking report dated 13.09.2012 (Annexure P-1) as null and void. Further prayer has been made for refund of the amount deposited by the petitioner.

I need not reproduce the facts of the case as the issue involved in this case has been dealt with by this Court in CWP No.4016 of 2014 titled '*Satyabir Goyal v. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others*' decided today.

The decision rendered in the case of *Satyabir Goyal* (supra) is fully applicable to the present writ petition. Resultantly, this writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

October 14, 2015
R.S.

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge