

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.7758 of 2015

Date of Decision : 24.04.2015

Pardeep Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

versus

DHBVN and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Balraj Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for regularization of the services of the petitioners under regularization policies dated 18.06.2014 and 28.07.2014.

Learned counsel states that in this regard the petitioners have also moved a Representation dated 21.12.2014 (Annexure P-8). He further states that the respondents are bent upon changing the condition of service of the petitioners by getting them engaged through contractors. He further states that he would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time and till then

to maintain status-quo regarding the services of the petitioners.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and till then to maintain status-quo regarding the services of the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 24, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**