

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7691-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.05.2015**

PARDEEP

....PETITIONER

VS

**HARYANA STATE COOPERATIVE APEX
BANK LIMITED AND OTHERS**

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is claiming regularisation as per policy Annexure P-2. Learned counsel states that in this regard the petitioner has issued a representation dated 23.02.2015 (Annexure P-4) on respondent No.3 and prays that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time .

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation(Annexure P-4) of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of

this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, grant him the same within another period of one month thereafter.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2015
sunita