

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015/02/24 11:17:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.8862 of 2014
Date of decision:18.02.2015**

Kamlesh Madan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.J.K.Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is working as Lab Attendant for the last more than 22 years and her services have not been regularised so far. He claims regularisation as well as equal salary, which is being paid to the regular employees. When the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, she served the respondent authorities, by way of legal notice dated 11.11.2013 (Annexure P-5), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide her legal notice, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Secretary, DAV College Managing Committee, New

Delhi-respondent No.3, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide her legal notice dated 11.11.2013 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is also directed that while considering the grievance raised by the petitioner vide legal notice 11.11.2013, respondent No.3 shall not get influenced with the reply to the legal notice and shall pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

18.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE