

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No. 763 of 2015
Date of Decision: January 16, 2015**

Veena Gaba

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take appropriate legal action on the representation (Annexure P/10) made by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made a representation dated 04.10.2014 (Annexure P/10), but no decision has been taken thereon.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurgaon 3 to look into the

grievance of the petitioners specifically made in the representation (Annexure P/10) and pass a speaking order in accordance with law preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and communicate the decision taken on the representation to the petitioner.

January 16, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge