

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8755 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 27, 2015

Sanjeet Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Kansal, Advocate,
for the HUDA.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has sought for consideration of allotment of a chamber by the Allotment Committee of the District Bar Association, Mahendergarh. The petitioner would refer to the administrative direction given by this Court on 26.9.2012 (Annexure P/6) in support of his claim.

2. Though the writ petition is not appropriate for seeking such relief, I direct the Chairman of the Allotment Committee of the District Bar Association, Mahendergarh, to consider the request of the petitioner while taking note of the direction issued by this court on administrative side and to take appropriate decision and inform the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 26, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

