

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7483 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision:11/05/2015

Vinay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The British India Corporation Limited and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

C.M. No.6162 of 2015

Through this application, additional affidavit on behalf of the petitioner is sought to be placed on record.

Prayer is allowed and additional affidavit on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Civil miscellaneous application stands disposed of.

Main case

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 16.1.2015 (Annexure P-9) issued on behalf of the petitioner. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the legal notice dated 16.1.2015 (Annexures P-9) by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**11.05.2015
rajeev**