

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 8701 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 10.03.2015

Sharmila Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Ms. Shikha, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuing directions to the respondents to grant family pension to the petitioner on account of death of her husband.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on the basis of reply, which is on record, submits that family pension has been released to the petitioner.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, she is at liberty to move representation to the concerned authorities, which shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law.

March 10, 2015

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**