

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 7470 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2015

Sohan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By way of the instant writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to issue directions to the respondents for grant of leave encashment of 300 days instead of 199 days.

The learned counsel contends that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner had served legal notice (Annexure P-5), however, the same has been passed without application of mind.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to pass a reasoned and speaking order mentioning as to how the case of the petitioner for leave encashment to the extent of 300 days is not made out, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

23.04.2015

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE**